

Logistic Regression

When the dependent variable is a
dummy variable

The logit or logistic model

- P is the probability of dummy = 1.
- $\ln(P) - \ln(1-P) = \alpha + \beta X$
- Behaves like exponential growth function near $P=0$.
 - Because $\ln(1-\text{near } 0)$ is near 0.
- Behaves like upside-down exponential growth function near $P=1$.
 - Because $\ln(\text{near } 1)$ is near 0.

The logit or logistic model

- P is the probability of dummy = 1.
- $\ln(P) - \ln(1-P) = \alpha + \beta X$
- $\ln(P/(1-P)) = \alpha + \beta X$
- $P = 1 / (1 + e^{-(\alpha + \beta X)})$

Logistic regression

- You can't use LS on $\ln(P) - \ln(1-P) = \alpha + \beta X$
- Because your data are all 0's and 1's. For either, you'd be taking the log of 0, which is undefined.
- Instead must use maximum likelihood
 - A computationally intense trial-and-error method
- Or group the data so that no group has 0.

Interpretation of β is not straightforward

- $\ln(P/(1-P)) = \alpha + \beta X$ is not linear in P
- So you can't say that when X changes by 1, the probability of being in the group increases by some amount or percentage.
- Instead, evaluate $P = 1 / (1 + e^{-(\alpha + \beta X)})$ at one X value and then at another and report what the two probabilities are.

Interpretation of β is not straightforward

- Instead, evaluate $P = 1 / (1 + e^{-(\alpha+\beta X)})$ at one X value and then at another and report what the two probabilities are.
- β as “odds ratio.”
 - $P/(1-P)$ is the “odds” of being in the group. E.g. The odds of heads on a coin toss are 1:1.
 - When X goes up by 1, the odds go up by about $\beta \times 100\%$. If before the odds were 1:1, the new odds would be about $(1+\beta):1$.